



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/057,662	05/04/93	WEST	J 1219962

ESM1/0208
WATTS, HOFFMANN, FISHER & HEINKE CO.
SUITE 2850
100 ERIEVIEW PLAZA
CLEVELAND, OH 44114-1824

EXAMINER	
MILLER, H	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2515	12

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

02/08/95

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Richard Sharpe (3) _____
(2) Charles Miller (4) _____

Date of interview 1/31/95

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☒ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☐ was not reached.

Claims discussed: 18, 19, 20, 22 and 26

Identification of prior art discussed: none

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Sharpe agreed to adding the limitation of "Polymer-Free" to the claims. Mr. Sharpe also requested that claims 19 and 20 be cancelled.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

☐ Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.

C.M. Anita Pellman Gross
Examiner's Signature